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ABSTRACT A discrete multistate kinetic model for water-wire proton transport is constructed and analyzed using Monte Carlo simulations. In the model, each water molecule can be in one of three states: oxygen lone-pairs pointing leftward, pointing rightward, or protonated (H$_3$O$^+$). Specific rules for transitions among these states are defined as protons hop across successive water oxygens. Our model also includes water-channel interactions that preferentially align the water dipoles, nearest-neighbor dipolar coupling interactions, and Coulombic repulsion. Extensive Monte Carlo simulations were performed and the observed qualitative physical behaviors discussed. We find the parameters that allow the model to exhibit superlinear and sublinear current-voltage relationships, and show why alignment fields, whether generated by interactions with the pore interior or by membrane potentials, always decrease the proton current. The simulations also reveal a “lubrication” mechanism that suppresses water dipole interactions when the channel is multiply occupied by protons. This effect can account for an observed sublinear-to-superlinear transition in the current-voltage relationship.

INTRODUCTION

The transport of protons in aqueous media and across membranes is a fundamental process in chemical reactions, solvation, and pH regulation in cellular environments (Alberts et al., 1994; Grabe and Oster, 2001). Proton transport in confined geometries is also relevant for ATP synthesis (Boyer, 1997) and light transduction by bacteriorhodopsin (Lanyi, 1995). In this article, we develop a lattice model for describing proton transport in one-dimensional environments. This study is motivated by numerous measurements of proton conduction across lipid membrane channels (Akeson and Deamer, 1991; Busath et al., 1998; Cotten et al., 1999; Cukierman et al., 1997; Deamer, 1987; Eisenman et al., 1980). Experiments are typically performed using membrane-spanning gramicidin channels that are only a few Ångstroms in diameter. This geometric constraint imposes a single-file structure on the interior water molecules (Hille and Schwarz, 1978; Hladky and Haydon, 1972).

Under equal electrochemical potential gradients, conduction of protons across ion channels occurs at a rate typically an order-of-magnitude higher than that of other small ions. This supports a “water-wire” mechanism (Akeson and Deamer, 1991; Nagle and Morowitz, 1978; Nagle and Tristram-Nagle, 1983; Nagle, 1987), first proposed by Grotthuss (Agmon, 1995; Grotthuss, 1806). Across a water-wire, protons are shuttled across lone-pairs of water oxygens as they successively protonate the waters along the single-file chain. Since the hydrogens are indistinguishable, any one of the hydrogens in a water cluster (e.g., any of the three hydrogens on a hydronium) can hop forward along the chain to protonate the next water molecule or cluster of water molecules (compare to Fig. 1). This mechanism naturally allows much faster overall conduction of protons compared to other small ions which have to wait for the entire chain of water molecules ahead of it to fluctuate across the pore to traverse the channel.

A peculiar feature of measured current-voltage relationships is a crossover from sublinear to superlinear behavior as the pH of the reservoirs is lowered. Measurements by Eisenman et al. (1980) were carried out in symmetric solutions in the 1–3 pH range, and the results were recently reproduced by Busath et al. (1998) and Rokitskaya et al. (2002). These experiments were performed using simple, relatively featureless gramicidin A channels. One leading hypothesis is that the nonlinear proton current-voltage relationships arise from the intrinsic proton dynamics within such simple channels. Specifically, multiple proton occupancy and repulsion among protons within the channel may give rise to the observed nonlinearity (Hille and Schwarz, 1978; Phillips et al., 1999; Schumaker et al., 2001).

There have been a number of recent theoretical studies of water-wire proton conduction. Extensive simulations on the quantum dynamics of proton exchange across small water clusters in vacuum have been used to predict microscopic hopping rates between water clusters (Bala et al., 1994; Sadeghi and Cheng, 1999; Marx et al., 1999; Mavri and Berendsen, 1995; Mei et al., 1998; Sagnella et al., 1996; Schmitt and Voth, 1999). Pomès and Roux (1996) have performed classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations on water-channel interactions, proton hopping, and water reorientation. They derive effective potentials of mean force describing the energy barriers encountered by a single proton within the pore. Since MD simulations are presently limited to only processes that occur over a few nanoseconds, none of these computational methods are efficient at probing very long-time, steady-state transport behavior. On a more macroscopic, phenomenological level, Sagnella and Voth...
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Rather than enumerating all possible molecular configurations, our lattice approach resembles that developed for molecular motors (Fisher and Kolomeisky, 1999), mRNA translation (MacDonald and Gibbs, 1969; Chou, 2003), traffic flow (Karimipour, 1999; Schreckenberg et al., 1995), and ion and water transport in single-file channels (Chou, 1998, 1999; Chou and Lohse, 1999). Here, the proton occupancy along the water-wire will be self-consistently determined by the prescribed lattice dynamics. The parameters used in our model are transition rates among discrete states that, in principle, can be independently computed from relatively short-time MD simulations (Décormez et al., 1999). The approximations inherent in our discrete model qualitatively take into account the effects of proton-proton repulsion and water-water dipole interactions.

MODEL AND METHODS

Qualitatively, protons hop from oxygen to oxygen during transport. The successive hops clearly do not have to involve an individually tagged proton; in this respect, proton currents resemble electrical conduction in a conductor. Many measurements of proton conduction across membranes are performed on the gramicidin model system. The interior diameter of gramicidin A is ~3–4 Å and can only accommodate water in a single-file chain. Although the number of water molecules in this chain is a fluctuating quantity, their dynamics in and out of the channel will be assumed to be much slower than that of their orientational rearrangements and proton hopping (Hummer et al., 2001; Kalra et al., 2003). We thus treat the water-wire as containing a fixed, average number of water molecules. There are \( N \approx 8\text{–}26 \) single-file waters within a typical transmembrane channel (Levitt et al., 1978; Wu and Voth, 2003).

Fig. 1 shows a schematic of our model. We first assume that each site along the pore is occupied by a single oxygen atom which may either be part of neutral water (\( H_2O \)), or a hydronium (\( H_3O^+ \)) ion. Although protonated oxygens in bulk are often associated with larger complexes such as \( H_2O_2^+ \) (Zundel cation), or \( H_3O^+ \) (Eigen cation), in confined geometries, the formation of the larger complexes is suppressed (Lynden-Bell and Rasaiah, 1996). Furthermore, the model depicted in Fig. 1 A can incorporate the dynamics of reactive proton transfer among transient clusters by an appropriate redefinition of a lattice site to contain the entire cluster.

Neutral waters have permanent dipole moments and electron lone-pair orientations that can rotate thermally. For simplicity, we bin all water dipoles (hydrogens) that point toward the right as "+" particles, whereas those pointing more or less to the left are denoted "−" particles. The singly-protonated species \( H_2O^+ \) is hybridized to a nearly planar molecule. Therefore, we will assume that hydronium ions are symmetric with respect to transferring a proton forward or backward, provided the adjacent waters are in the proper orientation and there are no external driving forces (electric fields). Each lattice site can exist in only one of three states: 0, +, or − corresponding to protonated, right, or left states, respectively. Labeling the occupancy configurations \( \sigma_i = \{-1, 0, +1\} \) allows for fast integer computation in simulations.

In addition to proton exclusion, the transition rules are constrained by the orientation of the waters at each site and are defined in Fig. 1 B. A proton can enter the first site \( (i = 1) \) from the left reservoir and protonate the first water molecule with rate \( \alpha \) only if the hydrogens of the first water are pointing to the right (such that its lone-pair electrons are left-pointing, ready to accept a proton). Conversely, if a proton exists from the first site back into the left reservoir (with rate \( \gamma \)), it leaves the remaining hydrogens right-pointing. In the pore interiors, a proton at site \( i \) can hop to the right(left) with rate \( \delta \) \( (\rho_+ \rho_-) \) only if the adjacent particle is a right- (left-) pointing, unprotonated water molecule. If such a transition is made, the water molecule left at site \( i \) will be left- (right-) pointing, unprotonated water molecule. Thus, these trails of − or + particles are unable to accept another proton from the same direction. Protons can follow each other successively only if water molecules can reorient such that these trails of +’s or −’s are thermally washed out. Water reorientation rates are denoted \( k_{\pm} \) (compare to Fig. 1 B and Fig. 2). Protons at the rightmost end of the water-
mean force along the pore (resulting from interactions of the different parameters used in our model. For example, variations in the potential of proton diffusion constant, water orientational diffusion constants, etc. Using parameters used in other models (Schumaker et al., 2001), such as the bulk simulations. They are a minimal set and are equivalent to the numerous bulk can be related to measured bulk quantities or derived from short-time MD limits where exact asymptotic results for the steady-state proton current been studied analytically and with MC simulations in related models. Such effects of local inhomogeneities in the hopping rates have properly aligned water dipoles. Effects arising from forces such as repulsion between protons in close proximity, interactions between water dipoles and external electric fields, and dipolar coupling between neighboring waters need to be considered.

In Fig. 3 A, a proton moves down the electric potential reducing the total enthalpy by V, and a right-pointing dipole is converted to a left-pointing dipole at an energy cost of H. Since both initial and final states have adjacent, repelling protons, the repulsion energy R does not enter in the overall energy change. In Fig. 3 B, a proton moves down the potential (−V), a “+” water is converted to a “−” (+H), a dipole domain wall is removed (−K), and the repulsive energy between adjacent charged protons is relieved (−R). The representation of these nearest-neighbor effects can be succinctly written in terms of the energy of a specific configuration,

\[ E\{\sigma_i\} = -K \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} - H \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i + R \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 - \sigma_i^2) \times (1 - \sigma_i^2) - V \sum_{i=1}^{N} i(1 - \sigma_i^2). \]

The H, K, R, and V parameters used in E\{\sigma_i\} are all in units of k_BT, and represent

- H: Energy cost for orienting a water dipole against external field.
- K: Energy cost for two oppositely oriented, adjacent dipoles.
- R: Repulsive Coulombic energy of two adjacent protons.
- V: Energy for moving a charged proton one lattice site against an external field.

V is the change in potential that a proton incurs as it moves between adjacent waters. The total transmembrane potential V_{membrane} = NV.

A number of microscopic details will be neglected. For example, the local dielectric environment across a channel can induce a spatially varying effective potential V_{i=0:N} (Edwards et al., 2002; Jordan, 1984; Partenskii and Jordan, 1992; Sygannow and von Kitzing, 1999). As a charge moves from the dielectric ε ≈ 80 water phase through the ε ≈ 2 lipid bilayer, the polarization energy varies. This smooth (on length scales over a typical water-water separation, or lattice site) energy variation ultimately gives rise to

\[ \Delta E = H-V \]
\[ \Delta E = H-K-R-V \]
\[ \Delta E = H+2K \]
\[ \Delta E = H+K-R-V \]

In Fig. 3 A, a proton moves down the electric potential reducing the total enthalpy by V, and a right-pointing dipole is converted to a left-pointing dipole at an energy cost of H. Since both initial and final states have adjacent, repelling protons, the repulsion energy R does not enter in the overall energy change. In Fig. 3 B, a proton moves down the potential (−V), a “+” water is converted to a “−” (+H), a dipole domain wall is removed (−K), and the repulsive energy between adjacent charged protons is relieved (−R). The representation of these nearest-neighbor effects can be succinctly written in terms of the energy of a specific configuration,
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We present MC simulation results for a lattice of size \( N = 10 \). The mechanisms responsible for the different qualitative behaviors are revealed and the effects of each interaction term will be systematically analyzed. We explore a range of relative kinetic rates, all non dimensionalized in units of \( p_0 \), the intrinsic proton hopping rate from between adjacent waters. Estimates for \( p_0 \) derived from quantum MD simulations are on the order of 1 \( \text{ps}^{-1} \) (Sadeghi and Cheng, 1999; Mavri and Berendsen, 1995; Mei et al., 1998; Schmitt and Voth, 1999). Moreover, a direct simulation of proton movement in carbon nanotube water-wires yields a proton diffusion constant of \( \sim 0.1 \text{nm}^2/\text{s} \) (Dellago et al., 2003). With a typical interwater spacing of 0.242 nm, this diffusion constant corresponds to a hopping rate of \( p_0 \sim 4 \text{ ps}^{-1} \). The resulting steady-state proton currents under realistic driving forces are on the order of 10 \( \text{ns}^{-1} \), consistent with that observed in gramicidin channels.

One of the main features we wish to explore is the effect of multiple proton occupancy on current-voltage relationships. To understand what values of transition rates would permit multiple proton occupancy, consider water at pH = 7, which has \( 10^{-7} \) M protons and hydroxyls. This concentration corresponds to \( \sim 60 \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ \) and \( 60 \text{OH}^- \) species per cubic micron. Even at pH 4, one would only have \( \sim 60,000 \) hydroniums per \( \mu \text{m}^3 \), corresponding to a typical distance between hydroniums of \( \sim 25 \) nm. Since there are only \( \sim 10–20 \) waters within a single-file channel, and at pH 4, only \( \sim 1 \) in 500,000 waters are protonated in bulk, multiple protons in a single channel can occur only if protonated species within the channel are highly stabilized by interactions with the chemical subgroups comprising the pore interior. This stabilizing effect is modeled by small escape rates \( \beta_0, \gamma_0 \), and assumed to be distributed equally such that \( p_0 \) remains constant across all sites within the lattice. Although from a concentration point of view, small entrance rates \( \alpha_0, \delta_0 \) arise from infrequent protons that wander into the first site of the channel, their exit rates \( \beta_0, \gamma_0 \) can be suppressed even more by their stabilization once inside the channel. Multiple ion occupancy has also been observed in related pore systems such as the potassium channel containing three sites for K\(^+\) ions (Morais-Cabral et al., 2001; Bernèche and Roux, 2001). Despite low bulk ion concentrations, the channel interior stabilizes the ions such that exit rates \( \gamma_0, \beta_0 \) are small enough for appreciable simultaneous multion occupancy. In all of our simulations, we will assume proton stabilization is moderately strong and limit ourselves to the rates \( \beta_0, \gamma_0 < \alpha_0, \delta_0 \). The values we use give steady-state proton occupancies across the whole range of values from \( \approx 1 \) to \( N \).

First consider asymmetric solutions and featureless, uniform pores where \( \alpha_0 = \delta_0, \beta_0 = \gamma_0 \). The only possible driving force is an external voltage \( V \). In Fig. 4, we plot the current-voltage relationship for various flipping rates \( k_0 \). We initially ignore interaction effects and set \( H = K = R = 0 \).
Currents for sufficiently small $V$ are always nearly linear. However, for sufficiently large $V$, the rate-limiting step eventually becomes the water-flipping rate $k_0$. Further increases in $V$ do not increase the overall steady-state current, and the current-voltage curve becomes sublinear before saturating. The crossover to sublinear (water-flipping rate-limited) behavior depends on the value of $k_0$, with sublinear onset occurring at higher voltages $V$ for larger $k_0$. In the noninteracting case, for most reasonable values of rate constants, any possible superlinear regime does not arise as it is washed out by the sublinear, water-flip rate-limited saturation. The only instance found where noticeable superlinear behavior in the steady-state proton current arises is in the limit of large $k_0$ and when $\alpha_0, \delta_0, p_0 \ll \beta_0, \gamma_0$. Superlinear relationships can occur via other mechanisms not inherent in our model. For example, the transmembrane potential may compress the bilayer and mechanically increasing the effective diameter of the channel, and increasing the mean number of waters in the pore. A small decrease in the interwater spacing could dramatically increase the internal hopping rate $p_0$, leading to a superlinear $J$–$V$ relationship.

For the parameters explored, the currents $J$ increase with increasing $k_0$ (Fig. 4 A); thus, the mean proton occupancies are qualitatively consistent with dynamics limited by internal proton hops. For small flipping rates, successive entry of protons is slow, whereas exit is not affected. As $k_0$ is increased, the bottlenecks near the entrance are relieved to a greater degree than those near the exit, increasing the overall proton occupancy (compare to Fig. 4 B).

Fig. 5 displays the effects of a fixed, external, dipole-orienting field $H \neq 0$. All other interactions and fields, except the external driving voltage $V$, are turned off. The convention used in the energy Eq. 1 favors a ‘‘+’’ state for $H > 0$. This asymmetry leads to an asymmetry in the $J$–$V$ relationship (Fig. 5 A). After an initial proton has traversed the channel, flipping of the ‘‘−’’ waters left in its wake is suppressed for $H > 0$, thereby preventing further net proton movement. The persistent blockade induced by increasing $H$ is evident in Fig. 5 B where the proton density decreases for increasing $H$.

Although $H$ is assumed independent of $V$ in Fig. 5, permanent water dipoles will be influenced by externally applied electric fields. The water dipoles will energetically prefer to align with this external field with a strength $H$ proportional to $V$. The orientational polarizability $L_{HV}$ is defined through $H = L_{HV}V$. It has been conjectured that when $L_{HV}$ is positive (defined as preferring waters with lone-pairs pointing to the left, or in the ‘‘+’’ state), the current should increase superlinearly with $V$, inasmuch as waters ahead of any proton will be oriented properly in order to receive it. Fig. 6 shows the current-voltage relationship for various $L_{HV}$. Although for very small $L_{HV}$, the current does increase very slightly, it becomes severely sublinear for larger $L_{HV}$ and $V$. In fact, it can attain a negative differential resistance (NDR) similar to that found in Gunn diodes or other ‘‘negistor’’ devices. The physical origins of NDR in
proton conduction arise from the energetic cost of producing a “−” state as a proton moves forward. Although the path ahead of the proton is biased to “+” states, the proton transfer step as defined in our model necessarily leaves behind a “−” particle. Thus, although the field $H = L_{HV} V$ properly aligns waters ahead of a proton, it also provides an energy cost for the tail of “−” particles left by a forward-moving proton. This energetic penalty inhibits the proton from moving forward despite the direct driving force $V$ acting on it.

The average density plotted in Fig. 6B decreases as $V$ for large $L_{HV}$. A large orientational polarizability $L_{HV}$ not only hinders forward proton hops, but enhances backward hops of protons that have just hopped forward during its previous time step. Proton dynamics are slowed dramatically, and only at the last site can they exit the pore. Proton entry from the left reservoir, on the other hand, is often quickly followed by exit back into the left reservoir. The protons are effectively entry-limited, and the density is rather low. As $V$ increases, the dynamics become even more entry-limited, and the overall proton occupancy decreases.

The effects of proton-proton repulsion ($R > 0$) are considered in Figs. 7 and 8. These simulations are consistent with the hypothesis that proton-proton repulsions can give rise to superlinear current (Hille and Schwarz, 1978). Fig. 7A shows a slight preference for superlinear behavior as repulsion $R$ is increased. Not surprisingly, Fig. 7B shows that the overall density of protons within the pore decreases with increasing repulsion.

The sublinear-to-superlinear behavior as the proton concentration in the identical reservoirs is increased is shown in Fig. 8A. Although for these parameters the effect is not striking, there is indeed a trend away from sublinear behavior as $pH$ is decreased or as $\alpha_0 = \delta_0$ is increased. Measurements, however, also show rather modest superlinear behavior (Eisenman et al., 1980; Phillips et al., 1999; Rokitskaya et al., 2002). The occupancy also increases with decreasing $pH$, enhancing the effect of proton-proton repulsion. These behaviors are consistent with experimental findings (Eisenman et al., 1980) and those in the simulations depicted in Fig. 7, where increased repulsion exhibited superlinear $J-V$ curves.

Finally, we consider the effects of dipole coupling $K \neq 0$ between adjacent water molecules. This interaction is analogous to a nearest-neighbor ferromagnetic coupling in e.g., Ising models. Fig. 9A shows that for sufficiently large $\alpha_0 = \delta_0$, a superlinear behavior arises (for small enough $V$ and large enough $k_0$ such that saturation has not yet occurred). Notice that as $\alpha_0 = \delta_0$ is increased, the $J-V$
The relationship can become more sublinear before turning superlinear. Here we have used a higher value of $k_0$ to suppress sublinear behavior to larger $V$, but the qualitative shift from sublinear to slightly superlinear behavior exists for small $k_0$. Moreover, recent comparisons between gramicidin A and gramicidin M channels suggest that water reorientation is not rate-limiting (Gowen et al., 2002). The nature of the superlinear behavior can be deduced from Fig. 9 B, where the mean proton density is shown to increase with $\alpha = \delta$ as $V$ is increased.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

We have developed a lattice model for proton conduction that quantifies the kinetics among three approximate states of the individual water molecules inside a simple, single-file channel such as gramicidin A and gramicidin M channels suggest that water reorientation is not rate-limiting (Gowen et al., 2002). The nature of the superlinear behavior can be deduced from Fig. 9 B, where the mean proton density is shown to increase with $\alpha = \delta$. Waters that neighbor a proton are relieved of their dipolar coupling and can more readily flip to a configuration that would allow acceptance of another proton. For example, the transition $\ldots 0 \rightarrow \ldots 0 + 0 \ldots$ will occur faster than $\ldots - 0 \ldots \rightarrow \ldots - + 0 \ldots$. This lubrication effect arises only when the proton density is high and $K \neq 0$.
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**FIGURE 8** Transition from sublinear to superlinear current behavior as proton concentration in the symmetric reservoirs is increased. (A) $J-V$ relationship for various concentrations $\alpha_0 = \delta_0$ for fixed $H = K = 0, R = 4.0, \beta_0 = \gamma_0 = 0.05$, and $k_0 = 2.0$. (B) The averaged proton concentration $\bar{c}(0)$ at each lattice site as a function of driving voltage. The concentrations increase for all ranges of $V$ as $\alpha = \delta$ is increased.

**FIGURE 9** (A) The current-voltage relationship for various proton injection rates in the presence of ferromagnetic water dipole coupling. (B) Mean proton occupations increase with increasing injection rates.

Analytic models (Schumaker et al., 2000, 2001) to include multiple proton occupancy and the memory effects of protons that have recently traversed the water-wire. Monte Carlo simulations of the lattice model were performed to test conjectures on a number of observed qualitative features in proton transport across water-wires. Four interaction energies that modify the kinetic rates are considered: A dipole-orienting field, which tends to align the water molecules; a ferromagnetic dipole-dipole interaction between neighboring water molecules; a penalty from the repulsion between neighboring protons; and an external electric field (transmembrane potential) that biases the hops of the charged protons.

We find current-voltage relationships that can be both superlinear and sublinear depending on the voltage $V$. For large enough voltages, the proton-hopping step is no longer rate-limiting. Water-flipping rates limit proton transfer and further increases in $V$ do little to increase the steady-state proton current $J$. This observation suggests that the observed transition from sublinear to superlinear behavior can be effected by varying an effective water-flipping rate, although we find that, indeed, proton-proton repulsion can lead to slightly superlinear $J-V$ characteristics—particularly for large repulsions and proton injection rates (low pH).

Dipole-dipole interactions between neighboring waters are also incorporated. Previous single-proton theories (Schumaker et al., 2000, 2001) have considered the propagation of a single defect back and forth in the pore. In our model, the number of protons and defects are dynamical variables that
depend on the injection rates and the dipole-dipole coupling, respectively. For large coupling $K$, we expect very few defects, and effective water-flipping rates will be low. However, when injection rates and proton occupancy in the pore is high, some dipole-dipole couplings are broken up by the intervening protons. Thus, protons can “lubricate” their neighboring dipoles, allowing them to flip faster than if they were neighboring a dipole pointed in the same direction. Using simulations, we showed that this lubrication effect can give rise to a superlinear $J$–$V$ relationship.

The parameters used in our analyses can be estimated from shorter time MD simulations, or other continuum approximations (Décornex et al., 1999); Edwards et al., 2002; Partenskii and Jordan, 1992). More complicated local interactions with membrane lipid dipoles (Rokitskaya et al., 2002) and internal pore constituents (such as Trp side groups; Dorigo et al., 1999; Gowen et al., 2002) can be incorporated by allowing $H$, $K$, $p_0$, and/or $k_0$ to reflect the local molecular environment by varying along the lattice site (position) within the channel (Kolomeisky, 1998).

**APPENDIX: NONINTERACTING MEAN-FIELD RESULTS**

For the sake of completeness, and as a qualitative guide, we review analytic results in the case $R = K = H = 0$, where only exclusions are included. Some of these results have been derived previously using mean-field approximations (Chou, 2002).

If $V = 0$ ($\xi = \xi_0$), only pH differences between the two reservoirs can induce a nonzero steady-state proton current. The proton concentration difference is reflected by a difference between the entry rates from the two reservoirs $\alpha_0 \neq \beta_0$, and the steady-state current can be expanded in powers of $1/N$: $J = a_1/N + a_2/N^2 + \mathcal{O}(N^{-3})$. In the long chain limit, we found (Chou, 2002)

$$J \sim \frac{k_+k_-}{N(k_+ + k_-)} \times \ln \left[ \frac{\beta(k_+ + k_-) + k_- \delta}{\gamma(k_+ + k_-) + \alpha(p_- + k_+)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(N^{-2}).$$

For channels with reflection-symmetric molecular structures, $\beta_0 = \gamma_0$, and Eq. A1 can be further simplified by expanding in powers of $k_+ \alpha - k_+ \beta$,

$$J \sim \frac{\beta p_+ k_+(k_+ \alpha - k_+ \delta)}{N[\beta(k_+ + k_-) + k_- \delta][\beta + \delta](k_+ + k_-)} + \mathcal{O}(1/N^2).$$

Finally, in the large $\alpha$ and $\delta = 0$ limit,

$$J \sim \frac{k_+ k_-}{(k_+ + k_-)N} \log \left( 1 + \frac{p_-}{k_-} \right) - \frac{\gamma k_+ p_-}{\alpha N(k_+ + k_-)(k_+ + p_-)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{-2}N^{-1}).$$

For driven systems, where, say, $\alpha > \delta$, $\beta > \gamma$, and $p_+ > p_-$, a finite current persists in the $N \to \infty$ limit. We can use mean-field approximations familiar in the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP) (Derrida, 1998; Schütz and Domany, 1993) to conjecture that three current regimes exist. If the both proton entry and exit is fast, and the rate-limiting steps involve water flipping, or interior protons hops with rate $p_+$, we expect that a maximal current regime exists and that the densities of the three states along the interior of a long chain are spatially uniform. Mean-field analysis from previous work (Chou, 2002) yields

$$J = \frac{2(p_+ k_+ - p_- k_-)}{(p_+ + p_-)^2} \left[ \left( \frac{p_- + p_+}{2} + k_- \right), k_+ \right] - \sqrt{k_-}, \sqrt{k_-}, k_+ + p_- + p_-. \]$$

For a purely asymmetric process, $p_- = 0$, and the current approaches the analogous maximal-current expression of the single species TASEP,

$$J(p_- = 0) \sim \frac{p_+ k_-}{4(k_- + k_+)} + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{p_-}{k_-} \right),$$

except for the additional factor of $k_-/(k_- + k_+)$ representing the approximate fraction of time sites ahead of a proton in the “++” configuration. These approximations neglect the influence of protons that have recently passed, temporarily biasing the water to be in a “++” configuration. Therefore, it is not surprising that these results are accurate only in the $k_- + k_+ > p$ limit.

A similar approach is taken when the currents are entry- or exit-limited. From the mean-field approximation of the steady-state equation for $p_+$ near the channel entry,

$$\frac{\partial p_+}{\partial t} = p_+ p_0 p_+ + k_- p_+ - k_- p_- = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial p_-}{\partial t} = -\alpha p_+ - k_- p_+ + k_- p_- = 0,$$

where we have for simplicity set $p_- = \gamma = 0$. Upon using normalization $p_- + p_0 + p_+ = 1$, and the expressions in Eq. A6, we find the mean densities near the left boundary,

$$p_- = \frac{(\alpha + k_-)(p_+ - \alpha)}{p_0(\alpha + k_- + k_+)}; \quad p_+ = \frac{k_- (p - \alpha)}{p_0(\alpha + k_- + k_+)};$$

and the approximate entry-rate-limited steady-state current,

$$J \approx p_+ p_0 p_+ = \alpha p_+ = \frac{\alpha k_-(1 - \alpha/p)}{(\alpha + k_- + k_+)}.$$
Water-Wire Proton Transport

\[ \rho_- = \frac{\beta(k_+ + p_+ - \beta)}{p_+(k_- + \beta)}, \quad \rho_+ = \frac{\beta}{p_+}. \quad (A10) \]

The exit-limited steady-state current is thus

\[ J \approx \beta p_0 = \frac{\beta}{k_- + \beta} \left( k_- - \frac{\beta(k_- + k_+)}{p_+} \right). \quad (A11) \]

The results above are derived from mean-field assumptions which neglect correlations in particle occupancy between neighboring sites. Although mean-field theory happens to give exact results for the simple exclusion process, the results above are only exact in the large \( k_-/p_- \) limit, as has been shown by Monte Carlo simulations (Chou, 2002). Only in this limit, where the memory of a previously passing proton is quickly erased, are the mean-field results qualitatively accurate (Chou, 2002). Nonetheless, the mean-field calculations of the simplified system \( (H = K = R = 0) \) yields qualitatively correct results for the steady-state current, provides a connection with well-known results of the TASEP, and gives an explicit qualitative description of the mechanisms at play.

The author thanks M. Schmacker for vital discussions and comments on the manuscript.

This work was performed with the support of the National Science Foundation through grant DMS-0206733.

REFERENCES


